

European Standardization Organizations

Measures to comply with new EU Reg. 2022/2480 amending EU Reg. 1025/2012

Webinar



Webinar moderator





Project Manager
Policy & Partnerships
CEN-CENELEC
esomers@cencenelec.eu

Get the most out of the webinar today



- You are muted
- ▶ Use the Q&A panel to submit your questions



► Talk about us on Twitter #training4standards @Standards4EU

Agenda



- European Standardization Strategy & role of standards in Europe
- ▶ How we addressed the provisions of Regulation 2022/2480
- Deep Dive:
 - ► Changes in IR2
 - ▶ Items covered by the provisions of new EU Reg. 2022/2480
 - Possible scenarios of voting results and way forward for TCs
 - Implication of outcome in terms of obligation to implement for EN & HD
- Key takeaways

Your speakers today





Elena SANTIAGO CID

Director General

CEN-CENELEC

esantiago@cencenelec.eu



Alberto SIMEONI

Account Manager - Technical Boards

CEN-CENELEC

asimeoni@cencenelec.eu

Annika ANDREASEN
CEN Vice-President Technical





European Standardization Strategy & role of standards in Europe

European Standardization Strategy & role of standards in Europe



- ► Standardization is the cornerstone of the European Single Market → reinforced by European Standardization Strategy 2022
- Clear benefits:
 - 1. reduction of red tape,
 - 2. dissemination of best practices and of innovations,
 - 3. same high level of quality, sustainability, safety and security
- ► Single Market 30th Anniversary



Progress under the European Standardization Strategy



- Better exploit the public-private partnership and anticipate together standardization priorities
- ► A dimension of the EU's strategy to shape globalisation through standardization
- ► Establishment of the both High-Level Forum (represented by the CEN and CENELEC Presidents) and the EU Excellence Hub within the EC
- ► Amendment to Regulation 1025/2012 and revision of CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulation ready for 9 July 2023
- ► Focus on the Twin Transition and plan to revise standards to be green & digital
- Closer connection with other regions of the world (i.e. Africa)



Challenges for CEN and CENELEC



- ► How Europe is strengthening its position and competitiveness?
- ► What is the role of standardization now and in the future?
- How can we provide a robust and future proof CEN and CENELEC system, ready to meet the challenges of the future?



The new EU Reg. 2022/2480 (I/II)



Modification to Art. 10 'Standardisation requests to European standardisation Organisations' of EU Reg. 1025/2012



- (2) The following paragraph is inserted:
- 2a. Without prejudice to other advisory opinions, each European standardization organisation shall ensure that the following decisions concerning European standards and European standardisation deliverables referred to in paragraph 1 are taken exclusively by representatives of the national standardisation bodies within the competent decision-making body
- of that organisation: Launched As OF 9 July 2023!! (a) decisions on the acceptance and refusal of standardisation requests;
- (b) decisions on the acceptance of new work items that are needed for the fulfilment of the standardisation request; and
- (c) decisions on the adoption, revision and withdrawal of European standards
- or European standardisation deliverables.'.


The new EU Reg. 2022/2480 (II/II)



Which means:

Standardization Requests and related mandated work

⇒ decisions taken exclusively
 Blue-type Members in
 CEN and CENELEC
 (i.e. NSBs/NCs of the EEA countries)



How we addressed the provisions of Regulation 2022/2480 (I/III)




CEN and CENELEC Technical Boards leadership in this exercise:

- ► Several meetings of CEN-CLC/BTWG 14 'Rules & processes'
- ► Active and constructive involvement of Blue, Yellow, Red-type Members

Our compass

- Implementation of the new provisions
- ► Involvement of all NSBs/NCs of all type of Members in the development and decision process of standards
- ► Final decision: Blue-type Members



How we addressed the provisions of Regulation 2022/2480 (II/III)



Solution:

- Install a systematic double counting of votes.
- ► Always display:
 - outcome of the voting of all Members
 - II. the outcome of the voting of Blue-type Members
- ► If discrepancies: final decision taken by the Blue-type Members after discussion in the relevant BT(s)

Reflected in

- Revised Edition of IR2:2023
- Additional BTs decision on operational aspects approved in May 2023



How we addressed the provisions of Regulation 2022/2480 (III/III)



Additional BTs decision on operational aspects approved in May 2023:

- Clarifications on what to do operations and timing when there is a discrepancy between the outcomes of votes with all Members Vs Blue-type only
- Role of Technical Board(s) to initiate European homegrown activity in case those international standards, which are included in the Standardization Requests and are expected to be developed together with ISO and IEC, are not initiated at ISO and IEC
- Approval of IT tools improvement to enable the double counting



Deep Dive

Managing complexity!



Different decision-making bodies for the different subjects

✓ CEN and/or CENELEC BTs Vs Members

Different decision-making bodies for the same subject

✓ CEN Vs CENELEC Vs CEN-CLC Joint technical body

Different way of voting for the different subjects

- ✓ Simple majority
- ✓ Weighted vote
- ✓ CEN and CNELEC BT consultation

And different way of voting between CEN and CENELEC on same subjects!

Precise rules, covering all possible scenarios!!

Who decides on what remains unchanged!



Subjects in EU Reg. 2022/2480	Under responsibility of
(a) decisions on the acceptance and refusal of standardisation requests	CEN and/or CENELEC BT(s)
(b) decisions on the acceptance of new work items that are needed for the fulfilment of the standardisation request	CEN NWIs: TC Members via CIB CENELEC NWIs: CLC/BT Joint CEN-CLC NWIs: CEN/BT & CLC/BT

Who decides on what remains unchanged!



Subjects in EU Reg. 2022/2480	Under responsibility of
(c) decisions on the	
(c) 1 adoption of European standards or European standardisation deliverables	Members (via eBallotting/eVoting portal)
(c) 2 revision of European standards or European standardisation deliverables	CEN: TC Members CENELEC: CLC/BT Joint CEN-CLC NWIs: CEN/BT & CLC/BT
(c) 3 withdrawal of European standards or European standardisation deliverables(when not replaced by new edition or other deliverable)	CEN: CEN/BT CENELEC NWIs: CLC/BT Joint CEN-CLC NWIs: CEN/BT & CLC/BT

Who decides on what remains unchanged!



Subjects in EU Reg. 2022/2480	Under responsibility of
*(d) decisions on the approval of	
(d) 1 updated Annex ZA/ZZ and normative references for homegrown deliverables after FV	CEN/BT CLC/BT
(d) 2 removal of links to legislation	CEN/BT CLC/BT

*NOTE: By extension of the principle of the new EU Reg. 2022/2480, the new provisions apply also to the decisions for the approval of updated Annex ZA/ZZ after FV for deliverables requested under a Standardization Request/mandate and for the removal of links to legislation from standards

Question 1





Changes in IR2 following EU Reg 2022/2480





Clause 2: addition of definition of Standardization Request and mandated work

2.24

mandated work

technical work requested under a Standardization Request (or mandate)

Clause 6: Adaptation of the clause to introduce the principle of the systematic double counting for those subjects covered by the new Regulation

Focus of this webinar

Annex D: Addition of the membership type in the tables

Information about type of membership per country annex D.1. (CENELEC) and D.2 (CENELEC)



New rules for approving decisions IR2 2022 Vs IR2 2023



2022 IR2

Clause 6 Voting policy

One single voting policy to cover:

Clause 6.1

Non-Mandated & mandated work

Clause 6 Voting policy
Split in 2:

Clause 6.2
Clause 6.4
Non-Mandated work
Mandated work

Question 2





Correct identification of mandated work



Then, as of 9 July 2023, it is CRUCIAL that:

- Mandated WIs CORRECTLY marked in the Database (ie. Projex-online)
 - Voting policy, either 6.2 or 6.4, will depend on that



Notify CCMC directly if Projex-online link WI with legislation missing/incorrect

Responsibility of TC secretaries but can be done by anyone in technical body



Improved Projex-online




New version:

'EC & EFTA relations' and 'Consultants' tabs merged tab 'Legislation', centralising:

- information on Standardization Requests, Legislation including the Referencing method, Assessment method, Requirement of Annex Z and Citation status.
- Information on HAS assessments

Recorded training available!



Changes in the template of voting results for mandated and non-mandated work



1. No more references to EEA countries but to

- Blue-type Members: Members of the European Economic Area (EEA)
- Red-type Members: Members of EFTA which are not Blue-type Members or in States that are identified by the EU Institutions as <u>Countries Candidates for accession to the EU</u>
- Yellow-type Members: having an agreement with the EU and demonstrating regulatory convergence or compatibility with the essential regulations that support the Single Market in areas that are relevant to CEN and/or CENELEC activities

Changes in the template of voting results for mandated and non-mandated work



2. The outcomes of the voting of Blue-type Members will be **ALWAYS** displayed together with the outcomes of the voting with All members, for non-mandated and mandated work

National Members approving: 5
National Members disapproving: 5
Number of Members approving: 50.000 % (requirement >= 55 %)
Weighted percentage of Population approving: 51.539 % (requirement >= 65 %)

Blue-Type Members approving: 5
Blue-Type Members disapproving: 2
Number of Blue-Type Members approving: 71.429 % (requirement >= 55 %)
Weighted percentage of EEA (Blue-Type) Population approving: 79.484 % (requirement >= 65 %)

Evaluation				
	All co	untries	EEA co	untries
Simple majority of members for acceptance (Yes/No)	6/3	YES	3/3	NO
2) Proportion of positive weighted votes ≥ 71	72.17%	YES	59.03	NO
Conditions fulfilled		YES		NO

Changes in the template of voting results for mandated and non-mandated work


3. New terms used for approving/disapproving

- non-mandated work will change as follows:
 - a) Approved by National Members
 - b) Disapproved by National Members
 - c) Approved by Blue-Type Members
- mandated work will change as follows:
 - a) Approved by National Members
 - b) Disapproved by National Members
 - c) Approved by Blue-Type Members
 - d) Pending
 - e) Approved by BT decision
 - f) Disapproved by BT decision




Question 3





Question 4






1. Subjects under **Members'** responsibility



a) Approval of mandated deliverables

b) Approval of mandated NWIs (CEN only)





a) Approval of **mandated** deliverables



Mandated EN, TS & CLC HD (weighted vote under new 6.4.4) – approval criteria



	ALL	BLUE- TYPE	Outcome	TC advice	Resolution BT meeting	Only for EN/HD approval: Obligation to implement
Scenario 1	yes	yes	Approved	no	no	Yes, all
Scenario 2	no	no yes <i>Approved</i>		no	no	Only Blue-type plus those Yellow & Red- type who voted positively
Scenario 3	yes	no	Pending	yes	yes - Consensus - Blue-Type via weighted vote	 All Members if the decision is to approve the EN If Blue-Type keeps their decision, there is no EN approved
Scenario 4	no	no	Disapprov ed	no	no	No EN approved

© CEN-CENELEC 2023

Example Weighted vote – CLC, Pending



VOTING RESULTS - FORMAL VOTE

prEN IEC 60335-2-4:2021	Submission:	2023-05-26
Reference Document:	Deadline:	2023-06-16
IEC 60335-2-4:2021 (EQV)	Subsector:	W26
Title:	Project:	74164
Household and similar electrical appliances - Safety - Part 2-4: Particular requirements for spin extractors	TC(s):	CLC/TC 61
DISCLAIMER:	Directive(s):	
The counting of the votes of Blue-Type Members (Members from EEA countries) is by default displayed on this voting report. If the voting result is 'pending', the item has to escalade to the Technical Board for final decision in line with CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations Part.2, clause 6.4. TCs are expected to carry out a preliminary assessment of the voting result so that to provide advice to BTs for an informed decision.	Mandated:	M/123
	Supersedes:	EN 60335-2-4:2010 + A1:2015 + A11:2018 + A2:2019

Results	Countries	Total members/weighted votes	
(* = with comments, ** = no answer received, *** = answer received, but file is not valid> vote not accepted)			
ACCEPTANCE:	CH, DE, FR, GB, PL, TR	6(153)	
REJECTION:	ES*, IS*, IT*	3(59)	
Abstention:	AT**, BE**, BG**, CY**, CZ**, DK**, EE**, FI**, GR**, HR**, HU**, IE**, LT**, LU**, LV**, MK**, MT**, NL**, NO**, PT**, RO**, RS**, SE**, SI**, SK**		

Evaluation					Proposed implementation dates
	All cou	untries	EEA co	ountries	doa: dor + 6 months
Simple majority of members for acceptance (Yes/No)	6/3	YES	3/3	NO	dop: dor + 12 months
2) Proportion of positive weighted votes ≥ 71	72.17%	YES	59.03	NO	dow: dor + 36 months
Conditions fulfilled		YES		NO	

Automatic conclusion :	Pending	
<u> </u>	a for an	_

Example Weighted vote - CEN, Pending



Result of voting

(National Members having abstained are not counted in this vote.)

DISCLAIMER

The counting of the votes of Blue-Type Members (Members from EEA countries) is by default displayed on this voting report. If the voting result is 'pending', the item has to escalade to the Technical Board for final decision in line with CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations Part.2, clause 6.4. TCs are expected to carry out a preliminary assessment of the voting result so that to provide advice to BTs for an informed decision.



National Members approving: 6 National Members disapproving: 3

Number of Members approving: 66.667 % (requirement >= 55 %)

Weighted percentage of Population approving: 76.600 % (requirement >= 65 %)

Blue-Type Members approving: 3

Blue-Type Members disapproving: 3

Number of Blue-Type Members approving: 50.000 % (requirement >= 55 %)

Weighted percentage of EEA (Blue-Type) Population approving: 63.831 % (requirement >= 65 %)

Example Weighted vote - CEN, After Pending



Result of voting

(National Members having abstained are not counted in this vote.)

DISCLAIMER

The counting of the votes of Blue-Type Members (Members from EEA countries) is by default displayed on this voting report. If the voting result is 'pending', the item has to escalade to the Technical Board for final decision in line with CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations Part.2, clause 6.4. TCs are expected to carry out a preliminary assessment of the voting result so that to provide advice to BTs for an informed decision.

Approved by BT Decision

National Members approving: 6 National Members disapproving: 3

Number of Members approving: 66.667 % (requirement >= 55 %)

Weighted percentage of Population approving: 76.600 % (requirement >= 65 %)

Blue-Type Members approving: 3
Blue-Type Members disapproving: 3

Number of Blue-Type Members approving: 50.000 % (requirement >= 55 %)

Weighted percentage of EEA (Blue-Type) Population approving: 63.831 % (requirement >= 65 %)

'Pending' - Way forward



For scenario 3 'pending', the topic will be preliminary discussed within 2 weeks by the relevant TC; then it will escalate to the relevant BT within 2 weeks. If no consensus can be reached among BT members, the final decision will be taken by the Blue-type BT members by weighted vote.

Note: if scenario 3 ('pending') happens for an Fis very exceptional! stage, TBs are recommended not to Scenario 3 is very exceptional! together with all other enables that Scenario 3 is very exceptional! together with all other enables that Scenario 3 is very exceptional! together with all other enables that Scenario 3 is very exceptional! together with all other enables that Scenario 3 is very exceptional! together with all other enables that Scenario 3 is very exceptional! together with all other enables that Scenario 3 is very exceptional! together with all other enables that Scenario 3 is very exceptional! together with all other enables that Scenario 3 is very exceptional!

Suggestion for TBOs: BE PREPARED for this scenario (3). For instance, plan a meeting/call or other forms of interaction to come to an agreement on a recommendation to BT.

Mandated TR (simple majority)



Double counting applies. The result can be 'Pending' in 2 different scenarios, as shown in the table

	ALL	BLUE-TYPE	OUTCOME	TC advice	Resolution BT Meeting
Scenario 1	yes	yes	Approved	no	no
Scenario 2	no	yes	Pending	yes	ndates!
Scenario 3	yes	no ·	Pending Standa	rdization IVIa	yes
Scenario 4	no	yes no	ested III -	no	no



b) Approval of mandated NWIs (only for CEN)




Note: information only for CEN TBOs!

CIB - Mandated Vs Non-mandated




Con	Committee Internal Balloting	
All	All open	



CEN/TCs secretaries: to ensure to have correctly selected the options!


Examples - CIB, Pending





Examples - CIB, After Pending





Creation of mandated NWIs for CEN EN & TS (weighted vote under new 6.4.4) – approval criteria



Note: also applies for NWIs for revision of EN modifying the scope

	ALL	BLUE- TYPE	Outcome	TC advice	Resolution BT meeting
Scenario 1	yes	yes	Approved	no	no
Scenario 2	no	yes	Approved	no	no
Scenario 3	yes	no Pending		yes	yes - Consensus - Blue-Type via weighted vote
Scenario 4	no	no	Disapproved	no	no

'Pending' - Way forward



For scenario 3 'pending', the topic will be preliminary discussed within 2 weeks by the relevant TC; then it will escalate to the relevant BT within 2 weeks. If no consensus can be reached among BT members, the final decision will be taken by the Blue-type BT members by weighted vote.

Suggestion for TBOs: BE PREPARED for this scenario (3). For instance, plan a meeting/call or other forms of interaction to come to an agreement or a recommendation to BT.

Experience shows that Scenario 3 is very exceptional!

Mandated NWIs for CEN TR (simple majority 6.4.2) – approval criteria




Note: NWIs intended to be an amendment or revision not modifying the scope

	ALL	BLUE-TYPE	OUTCOME	TC advice	Resolution BT Meeting
Scenario 1	yes	yes	Approved	no	no
Scenario 2	no	yes	Pending	yes	yes
Scenario 3	yes	no	Pending	ization Mar	idates.
Scenario 4	no	no no Rs requested Name of presenter / event	in Standaru	no	no
	very few T	Rs reques			
There are		Name of presenter / event			16 June 2023 45


Question 5





Question 6





2. Approval of topics under BT responsibility





BT responsibility, weighted vote (under 6.4.4)



Subjects	CEN BT	CENELEC BT	CEN & CENELEC BT
Approval of the Standardization Requests by CEN and/or CENELEC BT(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Approval by CEN/BT of updated Annex ZA (incl. ZA.1 & ZA.2) and normative references for homegrown deliverables after FV	Yes		
Approval by CEN/BT of the removal of links to legislation	Yes		

	ALL	BLUE-TYPE	Outcome	Resolution BT Meeting
Scenario 1	yes	yes	Approved	no
Scenario 2	no	yes	Approved	no
Scenario 3	yes	no	Pending	yes
Scenario 4	no	no	Disapproved	no

BT responsibility, regular BT consultation (under 6.4.3)



Subjects	CEN BT	CENELEC BT	CEN & CENELEC BT
Approval of new work items of CEN-CENELEC Joint Technical Committee			Yes
Decision to withdraw a CEN and/or CENELEC deliverable	Yes	Yes	Yes
Approval of new work items for CENELEC		Yes	
Approval of updated CENELEC Annex ZA/ZZ after the standard has been voted upon		Yes	
Approval of the removal of links to legislation from CENELEC standards and other deliverables		Yes	

Examples of voting results – Manual calculator



CEN version

https://qavotecalculator.cencenelec.eu/Vote/Cen

CENELEC version

https://qavotecalculator.cencenelec.eu/Vote/Cenelec



To recap


Key Take Aways



- Mandated work means that the (N)WI is requested under a Standardization Request or covered by a valid Mandate
 - -> assess it before starting your work
- Crucial to ensure that Mandated (N)WIs are CORRECTLY marked as such in the Database (i.e. Projex-online)
 - -> If not, inform CCMC!
- For decisions launched as of 9 July 2023, the new approval criteria for mandated work apply and may affect the approval of deliverables
 - -> TC may need to provide advice in 2 weeks to BT, if outcome is 'Pending'
- No worries! No need to interpret the voting! The voting result will be in line with the relevant clause of IR2
- Nothing changes in the voting result for non-mandated work, but counting of Blue-type Members' votes will be always displayed
- Second webinar on 30 June!

Your feedback







European Standardization Organizations

Thank you for your attention!

Next webinars

2023-06-23 - Webinar 'Presentation of the new EC/HAS ESOs Common checklist'

2023-06-29 - Webinar 'Harmonized standards under CPR: CPR Acquis, Fast-track and standardization request development process'

2023-06-30 - Webinar 'Measures to comply with new EU Reg. 2022/2480 amending EU Reg. 1025/2012'

Example Weighted vote – CEN, Approved Blue-Type Members



Result of voting

(National Members having abstained are not counted in this vote.)

DISCLAIMER

The counting of the votes of Blue-Type Members (Members from EEA countries) is by default displayed on this voting report. If the voting result is 'pending', the item has to escalade to the Technical Board for final decision in line with CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations Part.2, clause 6.4. TCs are expected to carry out a preliminary assessment of the voting result so that to provide advice to BTs for an informed decision.

Approved by Blue-Type Members

National Members approving: 5 National Members disapproving: 5

Number of Members approving: 50.000 % (requirement >= 55 %)

Weighted percentage of Population approving: 51.539 % (requirement >= 65 %)

Blue-Type Members approving: 5
Blue-Type Members disapproving: 2

Number of Blue-Type Members approving: 71.429 % (requirement >= 55 %)

Weighted percentage of EEA (Blue-Type) Population approving: 79.484 % (requirement >= 65 %)