

European Standardization Organizations

Measures to comply with new EU Reg. 2022/2480 amending EU Reg. 1025/2012

Webinar



*We start at
10:00 CET*



Els SOMERS

Project Manager



Policy & Partnerships

CEN-CENELEC

esomers@cencenelec.eu

Get the most out of the webinar today

- ▶ You are muted
- ▶ Use the Q&A panel to submit your questions



Type your question here...

Send anonymously

- ▶ Talk about us on Twitter [#training4standards](#)
[@Standards4EU](#)

- ▶ How we addressed the provisions of Regulation 2022/2480
- ▶ Deep Dive:
 - ▶ Changes in IR2
 - ▶ Items covered by the provisions of new EU Reg. 2022/2480
 - ▶ Possible scenarios of voting results and way forward for TCs
 - ▶ Implication of outcome in terms of obligation to implement for EN & HD
- ▶ Key takeaways

Your speakers today



Annika ANDREASEN
CEN Vice-President Technical



Alberto SIMEONI
Account Manager - Technical Boards
CEN-CENELEC
asimeoni@cencenelec.eu

How we addressed the provisions of EU Reg. 2022/2480

Modification to Art. 10 'Standardisation requests to European standardisation Organisations' of EU Reg. 1025/2012



(2) The following paragraph is inserted:

2a. Without prejudice to other advisory opinions, each European standardization organisation shall ensure that the following decisions concerning European standards and European standardisation deliverables referred to in paragraph 1 **are taken exclusively by representatives of the national standardisation bodies** within the competent decision-making body of that organisation:

- (a) decisions on the acceptance and refusal of standardisation requests;
- (b) decisions on the acceptance of new work items that are needed for the fulfilment of the standardisation request; and
- (c) decisions on the adoption, revision and withdrawal of European standards or European standardisation deliverables.'


IT APPLIES TO ALL DECISIONS LAUNCHED AS OF 9 JULY 2023!!

Which means:

Standardization Requests and related mandated work

⇒ decisions taken exclusively by **Blue-type Members in CEN and CENELEC**

(i.e. NSBs/NCs of the EEA countries)



How we addressed the provisions of Regulation 2022/2480 (I/III)

CEN and CENELEC Technical Boards leadership in this exercise:

- ▶ *Several meetings of CEN-CLC/BTWG 14 'Rules & processes'*
- ▶ *Active and constructive involvement of Blue, Yellow, Red-type Members*

Our compass

- ▶ Implementation of the new provisions
- ▶ Involvement of all NSBs/NCs of all type of Members in the development and decision process of standards
- ▶ Final decision: Blue-type Members



How we addressed the provisions of Regulation 2022/2480 (II/III)

Solution:

- ▶ Install a **systematic double counting of votes.**
- ▶ Always display:
 - I. outcome of the voting of all Members
 - II. the outcome of the voting of Blue-type Members
- ▶ If discrepancies: final decision taken by the Blue-type Members after discussion in the relevant BT(s)

Reflected in

- ▶ *Revised Edition of IR2:2023*
- ▶ *Additional BTs decision on operational aspects approved in May 2023*



How we addressed the provisions of Regulation 2022/2480 (III/III)

Additional BTs decision on operational aspects approved in May 2023:

- *Clarifications on what to do – operations and timing - when there is a discrepancy between the outcomes of votes with all Members Vs Blue-type only*
- *Role of Technical Board(s) to initiate European homegrown activity in case those international standards, which are included in the Standardization Requests and are expected to be developed together with ISO and IEC, are not initiated at ISO and IEC*
- *Approval of IT tools improvement to enable the double counting*

Deep Dive

Different decision-making bodies for the different subjects

- ✓ CEN and/or CENELEC BTs vs Members

Different decision-making bodies for the same subject

- ✓ CEN Vs CENELEC vs CEN-CLC Joint technical body

Different way of voting for the different subjects

- ✓ Simple majority
- ✓ Weighted vote
- ✓ CEN and CENELEC BT consultation

And different way of voting between CEN and CENELEC on same subjects!

Precise rules, covering all possible scenarios!!

Who decides on what remains unchanged!

Subjects in EU Reg. 2022/2480	Under responsibility of
(a) decisions on the acceptance and refusal of standardisation requests	CEN and/or CENELEC BT(s)
(b) decisions on the acceptance of new work items that are needed for the fulfilment of the standardisation request	CEN NWIs: TC Members via CIB CENELEC NWIs: CLC/BT Joint CEN-CLC NWIs: CEN/BT & CLC/BT

Who decides on what remains unchanged!



Subjects in EU Reg. 2022/2480	Under responsibility of
(c) decisions on the	
(c) 1 adoption of European standards or European standardisation deliverables	Members (via eBallotting/eVoting portal)
(c) 2 revision of European standards or European standardisation deliverables	CEN: TC Members CENELEC: CLC/BT Joint CEN-CLC NWIs: CEN/BT & CLC/BT
(c) 3 withdrawal of European standards or European standardisation deliverables (when not replaced by new edition or other deliverable)	CEN: CEN/BT CENELEC NWIs: CLC/BT Joint CEN-CLC NWIs: CEN/BT & CLC/BT

Who decides on what remains unchanged!



Subjects in EU Reg. 2022/2480	Under responsibility of
*(d) decisions on the approval of	
(d) 1 updated Annex ZA/ZZ and normative references for homegrown deliverables after FV	CEN/BT CLC/BT
(d) 2 removal of links to legislation	CEN/BT CLC/BT

*NOTE: By extension of the principle of the new EU Reg. 2022/2480, the new provisions apply also to the decisions for the approval of updated Annex ZA/ZZ after FV for deliverables requested under a Standardization Request/mandate and for the removal of links to legislation from standards

Question 1

Who are the Blue-type Members in CEN & CENELEC Membership?

Answer: it is the new term for the NSBs/NCs of EEA countries (27 of EU + Iceland and Norway).



Clause 2: addition of definition of Standardization Request and mandated work

2.24

mandated work

technical work requested under a Standardization Request (or mandate)

Clause 6: Adaptation of the clause to introduce the principle of the systematic double counting for those subjects covered by the new Regulation

Focus of this webinar!!

Annex D: Addition of the membership type in the tables

**Information about type of membership per country
annex D.1. (CENELEC) and D.2 (CENELEC)**



§ New rules for approving decisions IR2 2022 Vs IR2 2023

2022 IR2

Clause 6 Voting policy

One single voting policy to cover:

Clause 6.1

Non-Mandated & mandated work

2023 IR2

Clause 6 Voting policy

Split in 2:

Clause 6.2

Non-Mandated work

Clause 6.4

Mandated work

§ New rules for approving decisions IR2 2022 Vs IR2 2023



[CEN BOSS](#)

[CENELEC BOSS](#)

Question 2

Mandated work (or mandated WIs) refer to...

Answer: work items which are either requested in a Standardization request or developed under a Mandate.



Correct identification of mandated work





Then, as of 9 July 2023, it is CRUCIAL that:

- ▶ Mandated WIs **CORRECTLY** marked in the Database (ie. Projex-Online)
- ▶ Voting policy, either 6.2 or 6.4, will depend on that

Notify CCMC directly if Projex-Online link WI with legislation missing/incorrect

Responsibility of TC secretaries but can be done by anyone in technical body



Improved Projex-Online



New version:

'EC & EFTA relations' and
'Consultants' tabs merged tab
'**Legislation**', centralising:

- information on Standardization Requests, Legislation including the Referencing method, Assessment method, Requirement of Annex Z and Citation status.
- Information on HAS assessments

Recorded training available!

★ 73514 - EN IEC 62052-11:2021/A11:2022
Electricity metering equipment - General requirements, tests and test conditions - Part 11: Metering equipment

Access to current document(s) (authorised users only)

Responsible: CLC/TC 13 Drafting: CLC/TC 13/WG 01 Project Leader: Mr R. Bauknecht
WI/Standard status: Active/Published Track: EN/ENQ+FV
Category: Amendment Parallel: No Contact point: Ms M. De Coninck (mdeconinck@cenelec.eu)

WI Plan (Milestones) | WI Plan (All) | Title/Scope | **Legislation** | WI relations | Standstill & Deviation | Decisions | Classifications | Remarks | Released Documents | Implementations | Technical Body | Timeline

Standardization request (formerly mandate):

Reference	Mandate Title (Full)	Status
M/552 (EMC)	[C(2016) 7641 final] Standardisation request as regards harmonised standards in support of Directive 2014/30/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on the harmonisation of the laws of the Member States relating to electromagnetic compatibility	Confirmed
M/541 (Measuring Instruments)	[C(2015)8658] Standardization request in support of the implementation of Directive 2014/32/EU on measuring instruments	Confirmed

Legislation:

Reference	Legislation Title	Official Journal Ref. (Date)
2014/30/EU (EMC_2014)	C(2016) 7641 final] Standardisation request as regards harmonised standards in support of Directive 2014/30/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on the harmonisation of the laws of the Member States relating to electromagnetic compatibility	-

Referencing Method: OJEU Citation status: Confirmed for submission to EC
Assessment Method: HAS assessment Related SReq/Mandate: M/552 (EMC)
Draft content: Annex Z required

Phase	Requested on	Completed on	Assessment Result	Consultant
ENQ	2021-06-03	2021-06-23	Lack of compliance	Consultant EMC
FV	2021-11-29	2021-12-20	Lack of compliance	Consultant EMC

Date	Event	Comment
2022-09-12	EC acknowledgement of formal note	
2022-07-26	Formal note to EC	
2022-07-25	Standard offered to EC	

Reference **Legislation Title** **Official Journal Ref. (Date)**

2014/32/EU (MID_2014)	Directive 2014/32/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on the harmonisation of the laws of the Member States relating to the making available on the market of measuring instruments	-
-----------------------	--	---

Referencing Method: OJEU Citation status: Confirmed for submission to EC
Assessment Method: HAS assessment Related SReq/Mandate: M/541 (Measuring Instruments)
Draft content: Annex Z required

Phase	Requested on	Completed on	Assessment Result	Consultant
FWD	2021-05-07	2021-05-27	Compliant	Consultant for measurin
ENQ	2021-05-28	2021-06-23	Compliant	Consultant for measuri
FV	2021-11-29	2021-12-20	Compliant	Consultant for measuri

Date	Event	Comment
2022-09-12	EC acknowledgement of formal note	
2022-07-26	Formal note to EC	
2022-07-25	Standard offered to EC	

Specific agreement (formerly order voucher):

-

For BOTH mandated and non-mandated work changes in the template of voting results

1. No more references to EEA countries but to

- Blue-Type and non Blue-Type
- Blue-type Members: Members of the European Economic Area (EEA)
- Red-type Members: Members of EFTA which are not Blue-type Members or in States that are identified by the EU Institutions as [Countries Candidates for accession to the EU](#)
- Yellow-type Members: having an agreement with the EU and demonstrating regulatory convergence or compatibility with the essential regulations that support the Single Market in areas that are relevant to CEN and/or CENELEC activities

Changes in the template of voting results for mandated and non-mandated work



2. The outcomes of the voting of Blue-type Members will be **ALWAYS** displayed together with the outcomes of the voting with All members, for non-mandated and mandated work

National Members approving: 5
National Members disapproving: 5
Number of Members approving: **50.000 % (requirement >= 55 %)**
Weighted percentage of Population approving: **51.539 % (requirement >= 65 %)**
Blue-Type Members approving: 5
Blue-Type Members disapproving: 2
Number of Blue-Type Members approving: **71.429 % (requirement >= 55 %)**
Weighted percentage of EEA (Blue-Type) Population approving: **79.484 % (requirement >= 65 %)**

All Members		Blue-Type Members	
6/3	YES	3/3	NO
72.17%	YES	59.03%	NO
	YES		NO

'Measures to comply with new EU Reg. 2022/2480 amending EU Reg. 1025/2012'


Changes in the template of voting results for mandated and non-mandated work

3. New terms used for approving/disapproving
- non-mandated work will change as follows:
 - a) Approved by National Members
 - b) Disapproved by National Members
 - c) Approved by Blue-Type Members

 - mandated work will change as follows:
 - a) Approved by National Members
 - b) Disapproved by National Members
 - c) Approved by Blue-Type Members
 - d) Pending
 - e) Approved by BT decision
 - f) Disapproved by BT decision

Question 3

The approval criteria have changed...
Answer: Yes, but only for mandated work.



Question 4

All remains unchanged for non-mandated work...


Answer: No. Same approval criteria as before but different template where the double counting is always displayed.



1. Subjects under **Members'** responsibility

- a) Approval of **mandated** deliverables

- b) Approval of **mandated** NWIs (CEN only)



a) Approval of **mandated** deliverables



Mandated EN, TS & CLC HD (weighted vote under new 6.4.4) – approval criteria

	ALL	BLUE-TYPE	Outcome	TC advice	Resolution BT meeting	Only for EN/HD approval: Obligation to implement
Scenario 1	yes	yes	<i>Approved</i>	no	no	Yes, all
Scenario 2	no	yes	<i>Approved</i>	no	no	Only Blue-type plus those Yellow & Red-type who voted positively
Scenario 3	yes	no	<i>Pending</i>	yes	yes - Consensus - Blue-Type via weighted vote	- All Members if the decision is to approve the EN - If Blue-Type keeps their decision, there is no EN approved
Scenario 4	no	no	<i>Disapproved</i>	no	no	No EN approved



Example Weighted vote – CLC, Pending



VOTING RESULTS - PARALLEL VOTE ON CDV

prEN IEC 61347-2-13:2023	Submission:	2023-05-26
Reference Document:	Deadline:	2023-06-30
IEC 61347-2-13 ED3 (34C/1576/CDV) (EQV)	Subsector:	W16
Title:	Project:	69224
Controlgear for electric light sources - Safety - Part 2-13: Particular requirements for electronic controlgear for LED light sources	TC(s):	CLC/TC 34
DISCLAIMER:	Directive(s):	LVD_2014 (2014/35/EU)
This voting result is calculated based on IR2, Clause 6.4 'Decisions concerning Standardization Requests and related mandated work'. The counting of the votes of Blue-Type Members (Members from EEA countries) is by default displayed on this voting report. If the voting result is 'pending', the item has to escalate to the Technical Board for final decision in line with CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations Part.2, clause 6.4. TCs are expected to carry out a preliminary assessment of the voting result so that to provide advice to BTs for an informed decision	Mandated:	M/511
	Supersedes:	EN 61347-2-13:2014 + A1:2017

Results	Countries	Total members/weighted votes
	(* = with comments, ** = no answer received, *** = answer received, but file is not valid --> vote not accepted)	
ACCEPTANCE:	CH, DE, FR, GB, PL, TR	6(153)
REJECTION:	ES*, IS*, IT*	3(59)
Abstention:	AT**, BE**, BG**, CY**, CZ**, DK**, EE**, FI**, GR**, HR**, HU**, IE**, LT**, LU**, LV**, MK**, MT**, NL**, NO**, PT**, RO**, RS**, SE**, SI**, SK**	

Evaluation	Proposed implementation dates			
	All Members		Blue-Type Members	
1) Simple majority of members for acceptance (Yes/No)	6/3	YES	3/3	NO
2) Proportion of positive weighted votes ≥ 71	72.17%	YES	59.03%	NO
Conditions fulfilled		YES		NO

Automatic conclusion : Pending

Example Weighted vote – CLC, Approved by Blue-Type Members



VOTING RESULTS - PARALLEL VOTE ON CDV

prEN IEC 61347-2-11:2023	Submission:	2023-05-26
Reference Document:	Deadline:	2023-06-30
IEC 61347-2-11 ED2 (34C/1578/CDV) (EQV)	Subsector:	W16
Title:	Project:	69219
Controlgear for electric light sources - Safety - Part 2-11: Particular requirements for miscellaneous electronic circuits used with luminaires	TC(s):	CLC/TC 34
DISCLAIMER:	Directive(s):	LVD_2014 (2014/35/EU)
This voting result is calculated based on IR2, Clause 6.4 'Decisions concerning Standardization Requests and related mandated work'. The counting of the votes of Blue-Type Members (Members from EEA countries) is by default displayed on this voting report. If the voting result is 'pending', the item has to escalate to the Technical Board for final decision in line with CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations Part.2, clause 6.4. TCs are expected to carry out a preliminary assessment of the voting result so that to provide advice to BTs for an informed decision.	Mandated:	M/511
	Supersedes:	EN 61347-2-11:2001 EN 61347-2-11:2001/corrigendum Jan. 2002 EN 61347-2-11:2001/corrigendum Dec. 2010 EN 61347-2-11:2001 + A1:2019

Results	Countries	Total members/weighted votes
	(* = with comments, ** = no answer received, *** = answer received, but file is not valid --> vote not accepted)	
ACCEPTANCE:	DE, FR, IS, PL	4(88)
REJECTION:	CH*, GB*, IT*, LV*, TR*	5(101)
Abstention:	AT**, BE**, BG**, CY**, CZ**, DK**, EE**, ES**, FI**, GR**, HR**, HU**, IE**, LT**, LU**, MK**, MT**, NL**, NO**, PT**, RO**, RS**, SE**, SI**, SK**	

Evaluation	All Members		Blue-Type Members		Proposed implementation dates
1) Simple majority of members for acceptance (Yes/No)	4/5	NO	4/2	YES	doa: dor + 3 months dop: dor + 9 months
2) Proportion of positive weighted votes ≥ 71	46.56%	NO	72.73%	YES	dow: dor + 36 months
Conditions fulfilled		NO		YES	

Automatic conclusion : Approved by Blue-Type Members. To be implemented by Blue-Type members and non-Blue-Type who voted positively.

Example Weighted vote – CEN, Pending

Voting results

Result of voting

(National Members having abstained are not counted in this vote.)

DISCLAIMER

This voting result is calculated based on IR2, Clause 6.4 'Decisions concerning Standardization Requests and related mandated work'. The counting of the votes of Blue-Type Members (Members from EEA countries) is by default displayed on this voting report. If the voting result is 'pending', the item has to escalate to the Technical Board for final decision in line with CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations Part.2, clause 6.4. TCs are expected to carry out a preliminary assessment of the voting result so that to provide advice to BTs for an informed decision.

Pending

National Members approving: 6

National Members disapproving: 3

Number of Members approving: **66.667 % (requirement \geq 55 %)**

Weighted percentage of Population approving: **76.600 % (requirement \geq 65 %)**

Blue-Type Members approving: 3

Blue-Type Members disapproving: 3

Number of Blue-Type Members approving: **50.000 % (requirement \geq 55 %)**

Weighted percentage of EEA (Blue-Type) Population approving: **63.831 % (requirement \geq 65 %)**

RESULT EXPLANATION

Example Weighted vote – CEN, After Pending

Result

Result of voting

(National Members having abstained are not counted in this vote.)

DISCLAIMER

This voting result is calculated based on IR2, Clause 6.4 'Decisions concerning Standardization Requests and related mandated work'. The counting of the votes of Blue-Type Members (Members from EEA countries) is by default displayed on this voting report. If the voting result is 'pending', the item has to escalate to the Technical Board for final decision in line with CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations Part.2, clause 6.4. TCs are expected to carry out a preliminary assessment of the voting result so that to provide advice to BTs for an informed decision.

Approved by BT Decision

National Members approving: 6

National Members disapproving: 3

Number of Members approving: **66.667 % (requirement \geq 55 %)**

Weighted percentage of Population approving: **76.600 % (requirement \geq 65 %)**

Blue-Type Members approving: 3

Blue-Type Members disapproving: 3

Number of Blue-Type Members approving: **50.000 % (requirement \geq 55 %)**

Weighted percentage of EEA (Blue-Type) Population approving: **63.831 % (requirement \geq 65 %)**

RESULT EXPLANATION

test result explanation

'Pending' – Way forward

For scenario 3 'pending', the topic will be preliminary discussed **within 2 weeks** by the relevant TC; then it will escalate to the relevant BT **within 2 weeks**. If no consensus can be reached among BT members, the final decision will be taken by the Blue-type BT members by weighted vote.

Note: if scenario 3 ('pending') happens for an EN standard, at Enquiry stage, TBs are recommended not to discuss the matter, together with all other enquiry points, at the planned TB meeting. In this case, there is no need to discuss the matter with BT and the outcomes of FV can be awaited.

Experience shows that Scenario 3 is very exceptional!

Suggestion for TBOs: **BE PREPARED** for this scenario (3). For instance, plan a meeting/call or other forms of interaction to come to an agreement on a recommendation to BT.

Mandated TR (simple majority)


Double counting applies. The result can be 'Pending' in 2 different scenarios, as shown in the table

	ALL	BLUE-TYPE	OUTCOME	TC advice	Resolution BT Meeting
Scenario 1	yes	yes	<i>Approved</i>	no	no
Scenario 2	no	yes	<i>Pending</i>	yes	
Scenario 3	yes	no	<i>Pending</i>		yes
Scenario 4	no	no	<i>Disapproved</i>	no	no



There are very few TRs requested in Standardization Mandates!

b) Approval of **mandated** NWIs (only for CEN)



Note: information only for CEN TBOs!

CIB – Mandated Vs Non-mandated

Committee Internal Balloting

[All open](#)

Committee selection **Ballot Information**

Reference :

Title:

Method:

Use Weighted Majority for EN and TS, and Simple Majority for TR, revision or amendment

Standardization request (mandate):

Opening date: *
Voting will open at 00:00 Central European Time that day.

Closing date: *
Voting will close at 23:59 Central European Time that day.

CEN/TCs secretaries:
to ensure to have
correctly selected
the options!

Examples – CIB, Pending



Information	Pending Result	Participation	Audience
-------------	-----------------------	---------------	----------

Reference: NWI proposal 1

Committee / Working Group: CEN/TC 110
Status: Pending

Opening date: 2023-06-12
Closing date: 2023-06-12

Voting results

Result of voting

(National Members having abstained are not counted in this vote.)

DISCLAIMER
The counting of the votes of Blue-Type Members (Members from EEA countries) is by default displayed on this voting report. If the voting result is 'pending', the item has to escalate to the Technical Board for final decision in line with CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations Part 2, clause 6.4. TCs are expected to carry out a preliminary assessment of the voting result so that to provide advice to BTs for an informed decision.

Pending

National Members approving: 6
National Members disapproving: 3
Number of Members approving: **66.667 % (requirement >= 55 %)**
Weighted percentage of Population approving: **76.600 % (requirement >= 65 %)**
Blue-Type Members approving: 3
Blue-Type Members disapproving: 3
Number of Blue-Type Members approving: **50.000 % (requirement >= 55 %)**
Weighted percentage of EEA (Blue-Type) Population approving: **63.831 % (requirement >= 65 %)**


Information **Result** Participation Audience

Reference: NWI proposal 1

Committee: CEN/TC 110
Status: Closed

Opening date: 2023-06-12
Closing date: 2023-06-12

Download

Ballot results:  [as a Zip file](#)

Result

Result of voting

(National Members having abstained are not counted in this vote.)

DISCLAIMER
The counting of the votes of Blue-Type Members (Members from EEA countries) is by default displayed on this voting report. If the voting result is 'pending', the item has to escalate to the Technical Board for final decision in line with CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations Part.2, clause 6.4. TCs are expected to carry out a preliminary assessment of the voting result so that to provide advice to BTs for an informed decision.

Approved by BT Decision

National Members approving: 6
National Members disapproving: 3
Number of Members approving: **66.667 % (requirement >= 55 %)**
Weighted percentage of Population approving: **76.600 % (requirement >= 65 %)**

Blue-Type Members approving: 3
Blue-Type Members disapproving: 3
Number of Blue-Type Members approving: **50.000 % (requirement >= 55 %)**
Weighted percentage of EEA (Blue-Type) Population approving: **63.831 % (requirement >= 65 %)**

Creation of mandated NWIs for CEN EN & TS (weighted vote under new 6.4.4)– approval criteria



Note: also applies for NWIs for revision of EN modifying the scope

	ALL	BLUE-TYPE	Outcome	TC advice	Resolution BT meeting
Scenario 1	yes	yes	<i>Approved</i>	no	no
Scenario 2	no	yes	<i>Approved</i>	no	no
Scenario 3	yes	no	<i>Pending</i>	yes	yes - Consensus - Blue-Type via weighted vote
Scenario 4	no	no	<i>Disapproved</i>	no	no



'Pending' – Way forward

For scenario 3 'pending', the topic will be preliminary discussed **within 2 weeks** by the relevant TC; then it will escalate to the relevant BT **within 2 weeks**. If no consensus can be reached among BT members, the final decision will be taken by the Blue-type BT members by weighted vote.

Suggestion for TBOs: **BE PREPARED** for this scenario (3). For instance, plan a meeting/call or other forms of interaction to come to an agreement on a recommendation to BT.

Experience shows that Scenario 3 is very exceptional!

Mandated NWIs for CEN TR (simple majority 6.4.2)– approval criteria

Note: including NWIs intended to be an amendment or revision not modifying the scope

	ALL	BLUE-TYPE	OUTCOME	TC advice	Resolution BT Meeting
Scenario 1	yes	yes	<i>Approved</i>	no	no
Scenario 2	no	yes	<i>Pending</i>	yes	yes
Scenario 3	yes	no	<i>Pending</i>		yes
Scenario 4	no	no		no	no



There are very few TRs requested in Standardization Mandates!

Question 5

TC secretaries will have to calculate the voting result for mandated work...
Answer: False, the voting results will be provided by the tool.



Question 6

The fact that a NWI or WI is incorrectly marked in Projex-online has no consequences...

Answer: False, the approval criteria depend on how it is identified in Projex-Online.



2. Approval of topics under BT responsibility



BT responsibility, weighted vote (under 6.4.4)



Subjects	CEN BT	CENELEC BT	CEN & CENELEC BT
Approval of the Standardization Requests by CEN and/or CENELEC BT(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Approval by CEN/BT of updated Annex ZA (incl. ZA.1 & ZA.2) and normative references for homegrown deliverables after FV	Yes		
Approval by CEN/BT of the removal of links to legislation	Yes		

	ALL	BLUE-TYPE	Outcome	Resolution BT Meeting
Scenario 1	yes	yes	<i>Approved</i>	no
Scenario 2	no	yes	<i>Approved</i>	no
Scenario 3	yes	no	<i>Pending</i>	yes
Scenario 4	no	no	<i>Disapproved</i>	no

BT responsibility, regular BT consultation (under 6.4.3)



Subjects	CEN BT	CENELEC BT	CEN & CENELEC BT
Approval of new work items of CEN-CENELEC Joint Technical Committee			Yes
Decision to withdraw a CEN and/or CENELEC deliverable	Yes	Yes	Yes
Approval of new work items for CENELEC		Yes	
Approval of updated CENELEC Annex ZA/ZZ after the standard has been voted upon		Yes	
Approval of the removal of links to legislation from CENELEC standards and other deliverables		Yes	

Examples of voting results – Manual calculator

CEN version

<https://votecalculator.cencenelec.eu/cen>

CENELEC version

<https://votecalculator.cencenelec.eu/cenelec>


To recap

Key Take Aways

- ▶ Mandated work means that the (N)WI is requested under a Standardization Request or covered by a valid Mandate
 - > assess it before starting your work
- ▶ Crucial to ensure that Mandated (N)WIs are CORRECTLY marked as such in the Database (i.e. Projex-online)
 - > If not, inform CCMC!
- ▶ For decisions launched as of 9 July 2023, the new approval criteria for mandated work apply and may affect the approval of deliverables
 - > TC may need to provide advice in 2 weeks to BT, if outcome is 'Pending'
- ▶ No worries! No need to interpret the voting! The voting result will be in line with the relevant clause of IR2
- ▶ Nothing changes in the voting result for non-mandated work, but counting of Blue-type Members' votes will be always displayed

Your feedback





European Standardization Organizations

Thank you for your attention!

Next webinars

2023-07-07 - [Webinar 'Matrix of responsibilities for requesting common modifications to IEC standards and the inclusion of Annex Z/\(ZA\) in IEC Standards'](#)

2023-07-11 - [Webinar 'CENELEC Meeting Registration System \(MRS\) - Important information!'](#)